"An Overview"

Dojo Technology 866-DojoTek (365-6835)

# Electronic Evidence Discovery (EED)

- What is it?
  - "EED is the process of identifying, extracting, converting and organizing high volumes of computer-based data."
  - In English: "EED is finding computer files in an efficient and responsible manner and presenting those files to you in a format that co-exists with your current work flow tools."

Why "Yikes!"

Myth #1: "It's expensive"

Myth #2: "I can get by with paper"

### Why "Yikes!"

- Myth #1: "It's expensive"
  - Properly managing Electronic Discovery Projects will significantly reduce costs of both production and review.
  - Actions:
    - Acquire MD5 Hash document information to identify duplicates
    - Provide alternatives to producing a high number of pages for large documents (i.e. limit documents to 50 pages)
    - Identify documents based on their location in the document population, person or department. Exclude irrelevant documents.
    - Eliminate (or include) emails and documents by searching the metadata and text of all documents for keywords, dates, privileged information, people or concepts.
    - Provide a review set in images leaving print production to responsive documents as a second step in the process

### Why "Yikes!"

- Myth #1: "It's expensive"
  - Results:
    - Eliminating duplicate documents can reduce total population %10 %80
    - Culling documents through keyword searches, date range limitations or privileged content can provide a double digit document reduction.
    - Use of litigation support tools (Concordance or Summation, for instance) will eliminate production of the entire document set to paper.
    - All these steps result in:
      - reduced production size
      - reduced review time
      - reduced overall project management expenses
      - reduced expense

### Why "Yikes!"

- Myth #2: "I can get by with paper"
  - 99% of all corporate documents are created electronically \*
  - 50% or more of all corporate data exists ONLY in electronic format \*
  - A paper document does not contain all the critical information relevant to your discovery process

\* Larry Johnson, Esq. LTG Digital Data News January, 2003 Vol 1.

#### **Ed Hamilton**

From: Jason Stiles [jasonstiles@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 10:31 PM

To: 'Ed Hamilton'
Subject: RE: Trade?

What if we did Ichiro for Bonds and Michael Young... Young is on the verge of, if not already a hold player for next year. Think about it...

Thanks,

#### Jason Stiles

----Original Message-----

From: Ed Hamilton [mailto:edhamilton@comcast.net]

Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 8:14 AM

To: 'Jason Stiles'
Subject: RE: Trade?

Jason,

Sorry I didn't get back to you. Having a crazy day, week and month.

You want an Ichiro for Bonds? I don't think I would be interested. Ichiro has too many categories he gets me help in – steals, hits, avg. My closers I'm keeping, for now, as I need the stats – it's the only pitching category I'm doing anything in. Some sort of trade for someone else with numbers could help. Let me look into your team and see what Mesa's done lately.

At this point I haven't tossed in the towel so I'm not looking to loose hold players. Nonetheless, I'll take a

Ed

### Email on Paper:

- From
- Sent on Date
- Recipient
- Subject
- Body

#### **Ed Hamilton**

From: Jason Stiles [jasonstiles@comcast.net]
Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 10:31 PM

o: 'Ed Hamilton

What if we did Ichiro for Bonds and Michael Young... Young is on the verge of, if not already a hold player for next year. Think about it

Thanks.

#### Jason Stiles

----Original Message----

From: Ed Hamilton [mailto:edhamilton@comcast.net]

Sent: Tuesday, June 15, 2004 8:14 AM

To: 'Jason Stiles'
Subject: RE: Trade?

Jasoi

Sorry I didn't get back to you. Having a crazy day, week and month.

You want an Ichiro for Bonds? I don't think I would be interested. Ichiro has too many categories he gets me help in – steals, hits, avg. My closers I'm keeping, for now, as I need the stats – it's the only pitching category I'm doing anything in. Some sort of trade for someone else with numbers could help. Let me look into your team and see what Mesa's done lately.

At this point I haven't tossed in the towel so I'm not looking to loose hold players. Nonetheless, I'll take a look.

Ed

### Email after Electronic Discovery:

- From
- Date Created
- Date Sent
- Date Received
- Recipient (s)
- CCs
- BCCs
- Subject
- Body
- Entry ID
- Email Hash Value (de-duping)
- Attachments
  - Count of attachments
  - Attachment Names
  - Documents types
  - Parent/Child relationships maintained

This information is stored in a database and is fully searchable !!

### Memorandum

To: All Sta

From: Human Resources

Re: Sexual Harrassment Policy

Attached please find the new version of the company's sexual harrassment policy.

### Documents on Paper:

Text

### Memorandum

o: All Stat

- II D

Re: Sexual Harrassment Po

Attached please find the new version of the company's sexual harrassment policy.

This information is stored in a database and is fully searchable!!

Documents After Electronic Discovery:

- Filename
- Document Type (i.e. MS Word)
- File Location
- Date Created
- Date Last Modified
- Date Last Printed
- File Size
  - Author
- Company
- Subject
- Keywords
- Comments
- Last Edited By
- Hash value
- Where the document came from (loose file, email attachment, zip file, etc.)

Revisiting "Yikes!"

Myth #1 "It's expensive"

Specific actions can significantly reduce the production and thereby expenses.

- De-duping (removing exact duplicates)
- Limiting document selection by:
  - Date Ranges
  - Document Source
  - Key Words
  - Names

Myth #2: "I can get by with paper"

Paper documents represent the tip of the iceberg for the collection of potential information. Truth is, "You can get by without paper!"

A Real World Example

Data Set (Currently Under Production):

11 CDs of loose files - 5.25 GB

2 DVDs (Double-sided) – 13.6 GB

### **Production Steps:**

- Index all files capture metadata and MD5 Hash
- Identify documents Primary or Secondary
- Cross-reference documents to earlier indexed/produced sets to identify previously encountered documents
- Eliminate documents by metadata or text searching (opt.)
- Produce all Primary documents
- Provide custom Concordance database
- Provide image load file
- Provide database of all exception files
- Provide original metadata database with cross-reference to produced images where applicable

A Real World Example

Produced to Date:

5 CDs - 1.91 GB

Documents: 8,530

Duplicate Documents: 2,261

Total Images (single page tiff): 109,376 Original Production (excl. dupes): 82,375

Non-produced duplicates: 27,001 Production Cost Savings: 25%

Estimated Savings on Total Project: 270,010 Images